

**INDEX TO
EVIDENTIARY HEARING TRANSCRIPTS
PETER PANDILIDIS
DIRECT EXAMINATION: PAGES 409-456**

ASSEMBLED A DEFENSE TEAM

- ASSEMBLED A QUALIFIED DEFENSE TEAM412, LINE 18
- NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE FREQUENT MEETINGS OF THE TEAM IN
ORDER TO BE EFFECTIVE451, LINE 10
- PUT THE PROJECT TOGETHER WHEN YOU GO TO TRIAL, WHAT YOU'RE
GOING TO USE AND NOT GOING TO USE.....451, LINE 15
- WOULD NEVER GET ANYWHERE IF THEY KEPT REFERRING BACK AND
FORTH TO EACH OTHER AFTER SPEAKING WITH EACH PERSON.....452, LINE 17
- MIGHT TELL HIS CO-COUNSEL HE SPOKE TO "X" NUMBER OF WITNESSES
YESTERDAY AND THIS IS BASICALLY WHAT WE HAVE AND DON'T HAVE452, LINE 18

CONTACT WITH / EVALUATION OF PSYCHOLOGIST

- MET WITH PSYCHOLOGIST PRIOR TO HIS TESTIMONY440, LINE 23
- THINKS SMALLDON DID A PROFESSIONALLY GOOD JOB ON CROSS
EXAMINATION447, LINE 10
- SMALLDON ALSO GAVE INPUT ON WITNESSES AS TO WHO MIGHT BE
CALLED IN MITIGATION 449, LINE 2

CONTACT WITH /EVALUATION OF JACOBY

- DOESN'T THINK THE WITHDRAWAL/REPLACEMENT OF MITIGATION
EXPERT DELAYED MITIGATION INVESTIGATION.....431, LINE 15
- MITIGATION SPECIALIST WAS DOWN HERE AT LEAST ONCE.....433, LINE 21
- DOESN'T RELY SOLELY ON MITIGATION SPECIALIST TO IDENTIFY
POTENTIAL WITNESSES; ALSO RELY ON CLIENT/OTHERS.....434, LINE 11
- WOULD CALL JACOBY AT NIGHT OR SHE WOULD CALL HIM446, LINE 10

INTERVIEWED WITNESSES

- FOUR HOURS OF INTERVIEWING ON OCTOBER 27438, LINE 23
- HAD WITNESSES COMING INTO HIS OFFICE FOR INTERVIEWS.....442, LINE 21

- WITNESSES WERE RELATIVES OF ANGELO 443, LINE 7
- DISCUSSED THEIR TESTIMONY IN THE MITIGATION PHASE 443, LINE 8
- WOULD INQUIRE OF CLIENT’S WITNESSES IF THEY HAVE CRIMINAL
RECORD.....449, LINE 18
- INTERVIEWED LATONYA CLARK.....454, LINE 19

DEVELOPED DEFENSE

- THEY ARGUED SPECIFIC INTENT (AS A TEAM) IN ANGELO’S CASE422, LINE 25
- VOLUNTARY INTOXICATION IS NOT A DEFENSE TO A SPECIFIC INTENT
CRIME; IT’S MITIGATION 428, LINE 9